

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/575,532	05/22/2000	Burkhard Neumann	016790/0392	1530
7:	590 10/02/2002			
Richard L. Schwaab Foley& Lardner Washington Harbour 3000 K Street NW Suite 500 P.O. Box 25696 Washington, DC 20007-8696			EXAMINER	
			ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ε,			2877	

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/575,532**

Applicant(s)

NEUMANN et al

Examiner

Richard Rosenberger

Art Unit **2877**

• •	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	TO EVAIDE 2 MONTHIELEDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within					
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause 					
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 					
Status					
1) 🔀 Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 26, 2					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	tion is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-10, 14-17, and 25</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 💢 Claim(s) <i>11-13, 18-24, and 26</i>	is/are objected to.				
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
1. 🛛 Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burn	documents have been received in this National Stage				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provision	al application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6 Cother:					

Application/Control Number: 09/575,532

Art Unit: 2877

1. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17 states that "the face of the protuberance facing the object is placed in an edge region"; this is unclear, it is unclear what the edge region is an edge region of.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 8 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schaenzer (US 6,023,378).

See the protuberance (110) on a substrate (105). The transducer "couples light to the disc surface via evanescent wave effects" (column 1, lines 43-44), the "disc" being an optical memory (column 1, lines 9-10). The transducer is coupled to the rest of the optics by "immersion" (column 1, line 39).

Application/Control Number: 09/575,532

Art Unit: 2877

4. Claims 1-10, 14-16 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchiya (US 5,859,727) taken with Osborne et al (US 6,407,884) and Schaenzer (US 6,023,378).

Tsuchiya, in figure 7, shows a known dark field system which can be used for evanescent illumination (column 2, lines 40-44). Tsuchiya does not show the claimed "protuberance", however, it is known in similar systems to provide such a protuberance, see for example Osborne et al and Schaenzer, both of which show similar systems with such protuberances. The materials and methods of forming the various elements are variable within the ordinary skill in the art.

5. The art does not appear to show or suggest the various details of claimed 11-13, 17-24 and 26. These claims, with the exception of claim 17 (see the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 above) are objected to as being dependent from unallowed parent claims, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of their respective parent claims.

Art Unit: 2877

6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 308-7722.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (703) 308-4804.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 27 September 2002

Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner

Page 4